

# ISSN 2757-5675

#### DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.52520/masjaps.v7i2id171 Research Article

#### Quality of Life And Disability of Patients With Bipolar Disorder According To Treatment Response

Pelin AVCU<sup>1</sup> (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-3501-1271), Abdullah ATLI<sup>1</sup> (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-3300-3665), Betül UYAR<sup>2\*</sup> (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-6428-1097)

<sup>1</sup>SBU Gazi Yaşargil Training and Research Hospital, Psychiatry Clinic, Diyarbakır <sup>2</sup>Dicle University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry, Diyarbakır

\*Corresponding author: betuluyar@hotmail.com

#### Received: 15.01.2022

Accepted: 20.02.2022

#### Abstract

Bipolar Disorder (BD) is a lifelong, chronic mental illness with recurrent depressive, manic, hypomanic, or mixed episodes, with euthymic periods between episodes. The inadequate response is defined as the ineffectiveness of the drug from two different groups, despite being used sufficient dose and duration for the specific attack of the disease. BD is also related to impairment in functionality and disability. Most studies have demonstrated marked deterioration of quality of life and disability in patients with BD, even if they are clinically considered euthymic. Our study aims to determine the factors affecting the response to treatment and compare the quality of life and disability in patients with bipolar disorder. Our study included 150 patients with BD between 18-65 years who applied to the Dicle University Faculty of Medicine Psychiatry Clinic. Patients were divided into two groups according to treatment response. Sociodemographic Data Form, Clinical Global Impression Scale (CGI), Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS), World Health Organization Quality of Life Scale (WHOQOL-27) were applied to the participants. Inadequate response group had higher mood episodes, number of hospitalization, inadequate family support, non-adherence to treatment, suicide attempt, psychiatric comorbidity, late-onset treatment, and higher mean CGI-S and SDS subscales scores and lower mean WHOOOL-BREF 27 score. Inadequate response to treatment was associated with worse functionality and disability. Inadequate response related factors such as misdiagnosis, late diagnosis, late treatment, low adherence to treatment, missed psychiatric comorbidity, and inadequate family support should be minimized. Treatment should aim not only to remission symptoms but also aim complete functional recovery and no disability in work, social life, and family life/home responsibilities.

Keywords: Bipolar Disorder, treatment response, inadequate response to treatment, life quality, disability

# **INTRODUCTION**

Bipolar Disorder (BD) is a lifelong, chronic mental illness with recurrent depressive, manic, hypomanic, or mixed episodes, with euthymic periods between episodes (Grande et al., 2016). The prevalence rate in BD is 9-15/100,000 for men and 7.4-30/100,000 for women. In recent years, studies including BD I and II show a lifetime prevalence rate of up to 5% (Merikangas et al., 2007; Rihmer and Angst, 2005). Although BD II is more common in women than men, when all subgroups are evaluated in BD, the female/male ratio is 1/1(Carta and Angst, 2005). The age of onset of BD peaks between the ages of 15-19, followed by the period between the ages of 20-24. There is a 5-10 year duration between first onset and first treatment ages (Lish et al., 1994). BD I and II are classified under the category of "Bipolar disorder and related disorders" in the American Psychiatric Association's Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5). BD I is characterized by at least one manic episode. The manic episode may have been followed by hypomanic or major depressive episodes. In manic periods, symptoms such as inflated selfesteem or grandiosity, decreased need for sleep, increased talkativeness, racing thoughts are observed. According to DSM-5, the symptoms must persist for at least one week and cause significant impairment in functionality to diagnose a manic episode. In cases severe enough to require hospitalization, a diagnosis can be made without seeking time. The duration criterion for the hypomanic period is four days, and the impairment in functionality is not as severe as mania. There are no psychotic symptoms, and hospitalization is required. no Depressive episodes that can be seen in both BD I and II include symptoms such as depressive mood, loss of interest or

pleasure, sleep disturbances, thoughts of death that have been present for at least days and result in 14 impaired functionality. BD II; is characterized by at least one hypomanic episode in addition to one or more major depressive episodes (APA, 2013). BD differs significantly between individuals regarding prognosis, outcome, and response to treatment. There are some prognostic factors for BD. Early-onset, advanced age, residual symptoms episodes. co-diagnosis between of mental disorder, more than ten manic episodes, episodes, mixed long depressive episodes, rapid cycling; adversely affect prognosis and treatment response (Gitlin et al., 1995). Male gender, low socioeconomic level. presence of a family history of the disease, being single, and being from races other than Caucasians are other poor prognostic factors (Goodwin and Ghaemi, 2003). However, the predominance of manic episodes, good compliance with treatment, long euthymic periods, positive family, work, and occupation conditions, and low expression of emotion in the family are positive prognosis indicators. These factors are associated with greater benefit from treatment (Leboyer et al., 2005). The number of recurrences and severity of the disease are the most important determining factors the course. It has been found that good response to treatment is associated with good clinical course and outcome. It is thought that early and successful treatment of the first disease period affects the course positively (Belmaker, 2004; Tohen et al., 2003). Despite treatment, patients tend to switch from one attack to another, and it is difficult to maintain a long euthymic period with typical treatment methods (Gitlin, 2006). Inadequate response to treatment is highly variable for different clinical

conditions in BD. It has been defined primarily for depressive episodes for both BD I and II. The inadequate response is defined as the ineffectiveness of the drug from two different groups, despite being used sufficient dose and duration for the specific attack of the disease (Özalp, 2015). The International Society defined resistance to treatment in

# **BD** for **Bipolar Disorders**

Acute mania: Despite 8-10 weeks of treatment; insufficient decrease in YMRS scores or significant increase in Montgomery Asberg Rating Scale (MADRS) or Hamilton Depression scores or Rating Scale (HAM-D) MADRS and HAM-D scores exceed 6. Acute bipolar depression: Despite 10-12 weeks of treatment; insufficient decrease in MADRS and HAM-D scores or YMRS scores exceed 5

Maintenance period: Despite one year of treatment; no change in episode frequency or MADRS or HAM-D scores above 6 or YMRS scores above 7 between episodes (Tohen et al., 2009). Disability is defined as the impairment or loss of the ability to perform normal social functions or roles in the family, work, or social life (Zarate et al., 2000). Global Burden of Disease studies considered BD one of the leading causes disability-adjusted life of years worldwide for women and men (Murray and Lopez, 1997; WHO, 2008). Previous studies have shown that 75 percent of patients with BD have some degree of impairment (Goswami et al., 2006; Morgan et al., 2005). The grade of disability and impairment is greater in BD than in other mental disorders but lower than in schizophrenia (Gutierrez-Rojas et al., 2011). Good quality of life doesn't express just good health also physical. consists of person's а emotional, social, occupational, and spiritual well-being. The World Health Organization (WHO) has described the

quality of life as "individuals' perception of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards, and concerns" (WHOQOL, 1995). Most studies have demonstrated marked deterioration of quality of life in patients with BD, even if they are clinically considered euthymic (Michalak, 2005). Our study aims to determine the factors affecting the response to treatment and compare the quality of life and disability in patients with BD according to treatment response.

# **MATERIALS and METHODS** Sample selection

Our study included 150 patients between 18-65 years who applied to the Dicle University Faculty of Medicine Psychiatry Clinic between October 2015 and January 2016 and were diagnosed with Bipolar Disorder I or II according to DSM-5 criteria. The participants signed an informed consent form. Inclusion criteria for the study were having been diagnosed with bipolar disorder for at least two years and still receiving at least one mood stabilizer and at least one antipsychotic treatment. The criteria for the duration of treatment were at least one year of maintenance therapy, at least 6 weeks for acute manic episode, and at least 12 weeks for acute depressive episode. Patients were divided into two groups according to treatment response.

Group 1: Patients who responded to treatment,

Group 2: Patients who responded inadequately to treatment

Ethics committee approval of the study Retrieved from the Dicle University Medical Faculty Non-Invasive Clinical Research Ethics Committee (Date: 25/12/2015, Number: 59).

Our study was carried out following the ethical principles of the Helsinki Declaration.

# Assessment tools

Sociodemographic Data Form, Clinical Global Impression Scale (CGI), Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS), World Health Organization Quality of Life Scale (WHOQOL-27) were applied to the participants.

### Sociodemographic Data Form

It is a form created by us to record the patients' sociodemographic features and clinical information.

# Clinical global impression scale (CGI)

Guy developed it to evaluate the clinical course of all psychiatric disorders (Guy, 1976). It consists of 3 parts, including the severity of illness (CGI-S), Global Improvement (CGI-I), and Efficacy Index. Only CGI-S subscale was used in this study.

# Hamilton depression rating scale (HAM-D)

It is a 17-item scale that measures the severity of depression in the patient and facilitates diagnosis and follow-up during treatment (Hamilton, 1960). The validity and reliability study of the Turkish form was performed by Akdemir et al. (2001).

### Young mania rating scale (YMRS)

It was developed by Young et al. to assess the severity of manic episodes in bipolar patients (Young et al., 1978). It is a scale consisting of 11 items. Seven of the 11 items are in the five-point Likert type, and the other four items are in the nine-point Likert type. Turkish validity and reliability study of YMRS was done by Karadağ et al. (2002).

### Sheehan disability scale (SDS)

The scale has five items, and it is a self-rated questionnaire. Disability was assessed in 3 fields; work/school, social life/leisure activities, and family life/home responsibilities by the SDS (Sheehan et al., 1996).

#### World health organization quality of life scale brief 27 (WHOQOL-BREF 27)

WHOQOL-BREF is the short form of WHOQOL-100 developed by the WHO. It consists of 26 questions evaluated as a five-point Likert scale (Whoqol Group 1998). It consists of 4 areas: physical, psychological, social, and environmental. Its Turkish validity and reliability were performed by Eser et al. in 1999 (Eser et al., 1999). Question 27 has been added to the Turkish version as a national environmental field and is used only in national studies.

### Statistical method

The data obtained were evaluated in the "Statistical Packages for the Social Science" (SPSS) 24 program. Numerical values in the results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Descriptive information was given as percentage (%) and number (n). The conformity of the data to the normal distribution was evaluated with the Shapiro-Wilk test. We used the Student t-test for normally distributed data in two-group comparisons and the Mann-Whitney U test for non-normal distributed data. Pvalue of 0.05> was taken statistically significant.

# RESULTS

There statistical was no difference between the two groups in terms of age, gender, marital status, education. socioeconomic status (p>0,05) (Table 1). Group 1 had significantly fewer mood episodes and higher adequate family support than Group 2. The rate of no hospitalization compliance and treatment were statistically significantly higher in Group 1 than Group 2 (p<0,05). Suicide attempt, psychiatric comorbidity, and late-onset treatment rates were statistically higher in group 2 (p<0,05) (Table 2). The mean CGI-S and SDS

subscales scores were statistically higher in Group 2 compared to Group 1. The mean WHOQOL-BREF 27 score was statistically higher in Group 1 than Group 2 (p<0.05) (Table 3).

| Table 1. Sociodemographic reatures of individuals |                |                |         |  |  |
|---|----------------|----------------|---------|--|--|
|   | Group 1 (N=59) | Group 2 (N=91) | P Value |  |  |
|   | Mean±SD        | Mean±SD        |         |  |  |
| Age   | 31,86±9,46     | 30,89±9,20     | ,532    |  |  |
|   | N (%)          | N (%)          |         |  |  |
| Gender  |                |                |         |  |  |
| Female  | 23 (39)        | 39 (42,9)      | ,763    |  |  |
| Male  | 36 (61)        | 52 (57,1)      |         |  |  |
| Marital status                                    |                |                |         |  |  |
| Single  | 35 (59,3)      | 51 (56,0)      | ,692    |  |  |
| Married   | 24 (40,7)      | 40 (44,0)      |         |  |  |
| Education   |                |                |         |  |  |
| $\leq 8$ years                                    | 26 (44,1)      | 39 (42,9)      | ,884    |  |  |
| 8 years<  | 33 (55,9)      | 52 (57,1)      |         |  |  |
| Socioeconomic status                              |                |                |         |  |  |
| Low   | 10 (16,9)      | 27 (29,7)      | ,116    |  |  |
| Middle  | 49 (83,1)      | 64 (70,3)      |         |  |  |
| High  | -              | -              |         |  |  |

**Table 2.** Clinical features of individuals related to Bipolar Disorder

|                             | Group 1 (N=59) | Group 2 (N=91) | P Value  |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
|                             | Mean±SD        | Mean±SD        |          |
| The number of mood episodes | 3,15±0,96      | 7,92±1,39      | <0,001** |
|                             | N (%)          | N (%)          |          |
| Suicide attempt             |                |                |          |
| Yes                         | 12 (20,3)      | 42 (46,2)      | ,002**   |
| No                          | 47 (79,7)      | 49 (53,8)      |          |
| Psychiatric comorbidity     |                |                |          |
| Yes                         | 21 (35,6)      | 59 (64,8)      | <,001**  |
| No                          | 38 (64,4)      | 32 (35,2)      |          |
| Number of hospitalization   |                |                |          |
| Once in a year<             | 10 (16,9)      | 59 (64,8)      | <0,001** |
| Once in a year              | 21 (35,6)      | 21 (23,1)      |          |
| None                        | 28 (47,5)      | 11 (12,1)      |          |
| Late-onset treatment        |                |                |          |
| Yes                         | 14             | 55             | <0,001** |
| No                          | 45             | 36             |          |
| Treatment compliance        |                |                |          |
| Yes                         | 41             | 25             | <0,001** |
| No                          | 18             | 66             |          |
| Adequate family support     |                |                |          |
| Yes                         | 59             | 41             | <0,001** |
| No                          | -              | 50             |          |
|                             |                |                |          |

|                                   | Group 1 (N=59) | Group 2 (N=91) | P Value  |  |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|--|
|                                   | Mean±SD        | Mean±SD        |          |  |
| CGI-S                             | 1,14±0,392     | 4,59±0,882     | <0,001** |  |
| SDS                               |                |                |          |  |
| Work                              | 4,44±3,10      | 8,62±1,86      | <0,001** |  |
| Social life                       | 3,31±2,76      | 7,16±2,77      | <0,001** |  |
| Family life/home responsibilities | 2,27±1,42      | 7,51±2,69      | <0,001** |  |
| WHOQOL-BREF 27                    | 85,31±6,61     | 71,84±5,23     | <0,001** |  |

**Table 3.** Scale scores of patients with BD

#### DISCUSSION

Our study involved two groups according to response to treatment. Groups were similar in terms of age, gender, marital status, education, socioeconomic status.

Group 1: Treatment responders. Group 2: Inadequate treatment responders Inadequate treatment response was associated with more hospitalizations, mood episodes, and less treatment compliance. Also, inadequate treatment responders had higher rates of suicide attempts, psychiatric comorbidity, lateonset treatment, and inadequate family support. Treatment response was related to higher quality of life and less disability in work, social life, and family life/home responsibilities. A significant part of bipolar patients show permanent subsyndromal symptoms, and most individuals with BD spend more than half of their lives symptomatic despite treatment. Even if this patient group goes into remission with treatment, it is difficult for them to reach full functionality or return to their premorbid functionality. In this study, factors associated with poor functioning and higher disability were categorized as sociodemographic (older age, male sex, poor premorbid adjustment), clinical (age of onset, number of episodes, number of hospitalizations, history of symptoms, persistent psychotic subclinical symptoms, rapid cycling, psychiatric and psychiatric noncomorbidities), cognitive (persistent

cognitive dysfunctions), pharmacological (number of treatments, side effects of medicine) and environmental factors (social support family support and and attitudes, attitudes, health services, systems, and policies) (Sanchez-Moreno et al., 2009). Vieta et al. found that nearly half of the BD patients continue to experience difficulties in their functioning, including work impairment, family disturbances, marital and interpersonal problems. Psychiatric comorbidity, depressive episodes. psychotic symptoms, the number of attacks, illness duration, and an earlier age of onset were the factors related to poor functionality (Vieta, Colom & Martinez-Aran 2002). Deckersbach et al. have found that treatment was associated with significant improvements in functioning and quality of life in patients with BD. Responders showed better improvement in quality of than functionality life and nonresponders (Deckersbach, Nierenberg &McInnis 2016). In our study, similar results were obtained with the literature. Inadequate treatment response was associated with less treatment compliance and higher rates of late-onset treatment. In this context, starting maintaining treatment early and treatment adherence will be beneficial in terms of improving functionality and quality of life in individuals with BD. In addition, psychiatric comorbidities stand out as a factor that reduces functionality and quality of life in patients with BD.

Therefore. comorbid psychiatric conditions should not be overlooked and should be treated. Latest longitudinal studies have shown significant relapse rates, residual symptoms, and functional impairment despite the treatment. In previos years, treatments had focused just on clinical remission. However, recently, the aim of treatment is not only clinical remission but also improvement of the functionality of the patients. Despite innovations and developments in BD treatments, it may still be insufficient of in terms patients' functionality and disability. Nearly half of euthymic bipolar patients who complied with a special program for BD had low functionality (Martinez-Aran et al., 2007). Even in treated euthymic patients, this low functioning is one of the main factors that explain why bipolar disorders, when measured in disabilityadjusted life years, are one of the leading causes of non-fatal disease burden worldwide. It is known that psychoeducation and other psychosocial approaches to BD generally improve the outcome of the disease, and it has been reported that interventions focused on treatment compliance can yield positive results in this area. Patients with BD who can not reach total functional recovery from psychosocial mav benefit rehabilitation (Colom et al., 2008). The cross-sectional design of the study, heterogeneity of the patient group with inadequate response to treatment, and the absence of a healthy control group are our limitations. The high number of patients is also the strength of our study. Since our hospital is a tertiary health center that also serves the surrounding provinces has made it easier for us to reach more patients who respond inadequately to treatment. As a result, BD is a disease that causes severe loss of functionality and disability. Inadequate response to treatment was associated

with worse functionality and disability. Therefore, modifiable factors that may cause resistance to treatment should be considered. Factors such as misdiagnosis, late diagnosis, late treatment, low adherence to treatment, missed psychiatric comorbidity, and inadequate family support should be minimized. Treatment should aim not only to remission symptoms, complete functional recovery, and no disability in work, social life, and family life/home responsibilities.

#### REFERENCES

- Akdemir, A., Türkçapar, M.H., Örsel, S.D., Demirergi, N., Dag, I., Özbay, M.H. 2001. Reliability and validity of the Turkish version of the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale, Comprehensive psychiatry, 42(2): 161-165.
- American Psychiatric Association. 2013. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5). American Psychiatric Publishing.
- Belmaker, R.H. 2004. Bipolar disorder. New England Journal of Medicine, 351(5): 476-486.
- Carta. M.G., Angst. J. 2005. Epidemiological and clinical aspects of bipolar disorders: controversies or a common need to redefine the aims and methodological aspects of surveys. Clinical Practice and Epidemiology Mental in Health, 1(1): 1-4.
- Colom, F., Vieta, E., Martinez-Aran, A., Reinares, M., Goikolea, J. M., Benabarre, A., Corominas, J. 2003. A randomized trial on the efficacy of group psychoeducation in the prophylaxis of recurrences in bipolar patients whose disease is in remission. Archives of general psychiatry, 60(4): 402-407.

- Deckersbach, Т., Nierenberg, A.A., Salcedo, McInnis. M.G., S., Bernstein, E.E., Kemp, D.E., 2016. M. Baseline Kamali, disability and poor functioning in bipolar disorder predict worse outcomes: results from the bipolar CHOICE study. The Journal of clinical psychiatry, 77(1): 1753.
- Eser, E., Fidaner, H., Fidaner, C., Eser, S. Y., Н., Göker, E. Elbi, 1999. WHOQOL-100 WHOQOLve BREF'in psikometrik özellikleri. Psikiyatri Psikoloji Psikofarmakoloji (3P) Dergisi, 7(Suppl 2): 23-40.
- Gitlin, M.J., Swendsen, J., Heller, T.L., Hammen, C. 1995. Relapse and impairment in bipolar disorder. The American journal of psychiatry, 152(11): 1635–1640
- Gitlin, M. 2006. Treatment-resistant bipolar disorder. Molecular psychiatry, 11(3): 227-240.
- Goodwin, F.K., Ghaemi, S.N. 2003. The course of bipolar disorder and the nature of agitated depression. American journal of psychiatry, 160(12): 2077-2079.
- Goswami, U., Sharma, A., Khastigir, U., Ferrier, I.N., Young, A.H., Gallagher, P., Moore, P.B. 2006. Neuropsychological dysfunction, soft neurological signs and social disability in euthymic patients with bipolar disorder. The British Journal of Psychiatry, 188(4): 366-373.
- Grande, I., Berk, M., Birmaher, B., Vieta, E. 2016. Bipolar disorder. The Lancet, 387(10027): 1561-1572.
- Gutiérrez-Rojas, L., Jurado, D., Gurpegui, M. 2011. Factors associated with work, social life and family life disability in bipolar disorder patients. Psychiatry Research, 186(2-3): 254-260.
- Guy, W. 1976. Clinical global impressions scale. ECDEU assessment manual for psychopharmacology, 217-222.
- Hamilton, M. 1960. A rating scale for depression. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry, 23:56–62.

- Karadağ, F., Oral, T., Yalcin, F. A., Erten, E. 2002. Reliability and validity of Turkish translation of young mania rating scale. Turk psikiyatri dergisi= Turkish journal of psychiatry, 13(2): 107-114.
- Leboyer, M., Henry, C., Paillere-Martinot, M.L., Bellivier, F. 2005. Age at onset in bipolar affective disorders: a review. Bipolar disorders, 7(2): 111-118.
- Lish, J.D., Dime-Meenan, S., Whybrow, P. C., Price, R.A., Hirschfeld, R.M. 1994. The National Depressive and Manic-depressive Association (DMDA) survey of bipolar members. Journal of affective disorders, 31(4): 281-294.
- Martinez-Aran, A., Vieta, E., Torrent, C., Sanchez-Moreno, J., Goikolea, J. M., Salamero, M., Ayuso-Mateos, J. L. 2007. Functional outcome in bipolar disorder: the role of clinical and cognitive factors. Bipolar disorders, 9(1-2): 103-113.
- Merikangas, K.R., Akiskal, H.S., Angst, J., Greenberg, P.E., Hirschfeld, R.M., Petukhova, M., Kessler, R.C. 2007. Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of bipolar spectrum disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey replication. Archives of general psychiatry, 64(5): 543-552.
- Michalak, E.E., Yatham, L.N., Lam, R.W. 2005. Quality of life in bipolar disorder: a review of the literature. Health and quality of life outcomes, 3(1): 1-17.
- Morgan, V.A., Mitchell, P.B., Jablensky, A.V. 2005. The epidemiology of bipolar disorder: sociodemographic, disability and service utilization data from the Australian National Study of Low Prevalence (Psychotic) Disorders. Bipolar disorders, 7(4): 326-337.
- Murray, C.J., Lopez, A.D. 1997. Global mortality, disability, and the contribution of risk factors: Global Burden of Disease Study. The Lancet, 349(9063):1436-1442.
- Özalp, E., Karslıoğlu, E.H. 2015. Tedaviye Dirençli Bipolar

Bozukluk. Psikiyatride Güncel Yaklaşımlar, 7(4): 401-418.

- Rihmer, Z., Angst, J. 2005. Epidemiology of bipolar disorder. Medical Psychiatry, 32: 21.
- Sanchez-Moreno, J., Martinez-Aran, A., Tabarés-Seisdedos, R., Torrent, C., Vieta, E., Ayuso-Mateos, J.L. 2009. Functioning and disability in bipolar disorder: an extensive review. Psychotherapy and psychosomatics, 78(5): 285-297.
- Scott, J., Colom, F. 2008. Gaps and limitations of psychological interventions for bipolar disorders. Psychotherapy and psychosomatics, 77(1): 4-11.
- Sheehan, D.V., Harnett-Sheehan, K., Raj, B. A. 1996. The measurement of disability. International Clinical Psychopharmacology, 11(Suppl 3): 89–95.
- Tohen, M., Frank, E., Bowden, C.L., Colom, F., Ghaemi, S.N., Yatham, L.N., Berk, M. 2009. The International Society for Bipolar Disorders (ISBD) Task Force report on the nomenclature of course and outcome in bipolar disorders. Bipolar disorders, 11(5): 453-473.
- Tohen, M., Goldberg, J.F., Arrillaga, A.M. G.P., Azorin, J.M., Vieta, E., Hardy-Bayle, M. C., Breier, A. 2003. A 12week, double-blind comparison of

olanzapine vs haloperidol in the treatment of acute mania. Archives of general psychiatry, 60(12): 1218-1226.

- Vieta, E., Colom, F., Martinez-Aran, A. 2002. Chronicity, milder forms, and cognitive impairment in bipolar disorder. Bipolar Disorders. WPA Series Evidence and Experience in Psychiatry, Wiley. Chichester, Wiley, 182-184.
- Whoqol Group 1998. Development of the World Health Organization WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment. Psychological medicine, 28(3): 551-558.
- Whoqol Group 1995. The World Health Organization quality of life assessment (WHOQOL): position paper from the World Health Organization. Social science & medicine, 41(10): 1403-1409.
- World Health Organization 2008. *World* health statistics 2008. World Health Organization.
- Young, R.C., Biggs, J.T., Ziegler, V.E., Meyer, D.A. 1978. A rating scale for mania: reliability, validity and sensitivity. The British journal of psychiatry, 133(5): 429-435.
- Zarate, C.A., Tohen, M., Land, M., Cavanagh, S. 2000. Functional impairment and cognition in bipolar disorder. Psychiatric Quarterly, 71(4): 309-329.